United States District Court Southern District of Texas

ENTERED

September 05, 2024 Nathan Ochsner, Clerk

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS BROWNSVILLE DIVISION

X.D. JR, by his mother and father as next	§	*
friends, Vanessa De Leon and Xavier	§	
Duran,	§	
"Plaintiff,"	§	
	§	•
V.	§	Civil Action No. 1:24-cv-00051
	§	
TOTALLY KIDZ LEARNING CENTER, et al.,	§ .	
"Defendants."	§	

ORDER ADOPTING MAGISTRATE JUDGE'S REPORT AND RECOMMENDATION

Before the Court is the "Magistrate Judge's Report and Recommendation" ("R&R") (Dkt. No. 25). The R&R recommends this Court (1) deny Defendants' "Motion to Dismiss Plaintiff's Original Complaint" ("MTD") (Dkt. No. 15); and (2) grant Plaintiff leave to amend their complaint.

Neither party objected to the R&R. See Dkt. Nos. 26 & 28. When there are no objections to the magistrate's ruling, the appropriate standard of review is "clearly erroneous, abuse of discretion and contrary to law." *United States v. Wilson*, 864 F.2d 1219, 1221 (5th Cir. 1989). Finding no clear error, abuse of discretion, or finding contrary to law, the R&R (Dkt. No. 25) is **ADOPTED.**

For these reasons, Defendants' MTD (Dkt. No. 15) is **DENIED**, and Plaintiff is **GRANTED** leave to amend their complaint. Because Plaintiff recently filed their amended complaint (Dkt. No. 29) while this order was pending, the Court **ORDERS** the Clerk of the Court to docket the amended complaint as a new entry to reflect that the amended complaint is the live pleading.

Rolando Olvera United States District Judge

¹ Plaintiff's amended complaint (Dkt. No. 29) was mistakenly categorized in the docket as a "motion".